



This overview has been developed by EQIPD and is provided as a general guidance for those organizations and researchers willing to evaluate to what extent their current research environment and research practices comply with the EQIPD expectations

Key terms

EQIPD defines **research quality** as the extent to which research data are fit for intended use. Fitness, in this context, is defined by the stakeholders, who can be scientists themselves, but also patients, funders, sponsors, publishers and collaboration partners (e.g., peers in a multi-site research project).

Research rigor refers to measures against systematic error(s) in the estimated effect of an intervention, caused by inadequacies in the design, conduct, or analysis of an experiment.

Raw data ([LINK](#)) means all original records and documentation, which are the result of the observations and activities in a study, such as:

- photographs, videotapes, blots, chromatograms, computer readable media, dictated observations, recorded data from automated instruments, or any other medium capable of providing secure storage of information for a time period required by law or other applicable regulations;
- data directly entered into a computer through an automatic instrument interface, which are the results of primary observations and activities in a study;
- copies of original laboratory records and documentation that are complete and of good quality.

Knowledge-claiming research ([LINK](#)): EQIPD requires that the maximal rigor possible is applied (and exceptions explained / documented in the study plan) to research that is conducted with the prior intention of informing a knowledge claim.

Examples of research requiring the maximal rigor possible include:

- Experimental studies to scrutinize preclinical findings through replication of results alongside investigations into boundary conditions and robustness through conduct of additional (control) conditions and multicenter studies ([Kimmelman et al. 2014](#))



- Research aimed to generate evidence that enables decisions such as critical studies that, dependent on the outcome, will trigger a chain of activities and events associated with significant resource and time costs (e.g. a decision to initiate a new drug development project or to initiate GLP safety assessment of a new drug candidate)
- Studies for which any outcome would be considered diagnostic evidence about a claim from prior research ([Nosek and Errington 2020](#))
- Labor-, resource- and/or time-intensive studies that cannot be easily repeated

Must vs should (or strongly recommended)

Must indicates actions that EQIPD considers as imperative and mandatory or as a requirement.

In some cases, the research environment, specific of a research project or research organization do not allow or make it less relevant to adhere to the requirements formulated below.

In such cases, instead of using the word “must”, the expectations are communicated as “**should**” or “**strongly recommended**”. This means that failure to comply with these expectations will not be automatically regarded as a “red flag” but the research organization may need to present a good rationale for not following this strong recommendation.

For definitions, supporting resources and up-to-date information, please visit the EQIPD page – [link](#)



#	Quality System	Purpose-fit assessment	Core requirements <i>(key self-assessment points in italics)</i>	Must¹ be described in a dedicated stand-alone document?	Verified during assessment?	Guidance & further information
1	Required	n/a	Process owner must be identified for the Quality System	No	Yes	link
2	Required	n/a	Communication process must be in place	Yes	Yes	link
3	Required	Advisable	The research unit must have defined quality objectives	Yes	Yes	link
			<i>Members of my research team are aware of the quality objectives</i>	No	Yes	
			<i>For my research unit, incentive/award/reward structure is aligned with the quality objectives</i>	No	Yes	
4	Required	Required	All activities must comply with relevant legislation and policies	No	To some extent	link
			<i>To the best of my knowledge, my research unit complies fully with all applicable national and international legislation and policies</i>	No	No	
			<i>To the best of my knowledge, there were no compliance issues with applicable legislation and policies observed since the last self-assessment</i>	No	To some extent	

¹ Even in cases when a dedicated stand-alone piece of document is required, research units may still decide to create written descriptions of policies and practices as it makes the procedures more transparent and may facilitate the assessment (internal or external)



5	Required	Strongly recommended	The research unit must have a procedure to act upon concerns of potential misconduct	No ²	Yes	link
			<i>Our research unit (or parent organization) has an anonymous reporting / whistleblower policy in place and members of my research unit are aware of this policy</i>	No	Yes	
			<i>Members of my research unit receive training on responsible conduct of research</i>	No ³	Yes	
6	Required	Required	Generation, handling and changes to data records must be documented	No ⁴	Yes	link
			<i>For my research unit, I regularly perform spot checks on integrity of data records to make sure that each data record:</i>			
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • identifies author(s) / owner(s) • is saved at the time of generation and is time stamped • is readable and permanent 	No	Yes	
7	Required	Required	Data storage must be secured at least for as long as required by legal, contractual or other obligations or business needs	No ⁵	Yes	link
			<i>For my research unit, I regularly perform spot checks on security of storage of data records and make sure that the data records are stored in an un-editable format</i>	No	Yes	

² It is expected here that the requirement is met by availability of a research integrity policy of the parent organization, an intranet site that presents the research integrity office, officer or the policy, a set of slides used in research integrity training, and/or a summary provided to all employees

³ Not beyond the training documentation itself

⁴ For a Quality System, it is expected that a separate documentation is established describing data handling practices. For a purpose-fit assessment, such data handling practices can be part of study plans or protocols for experimental methods

⁵ Same as above



8	Required	Required	Reported research outcomes must be traceable to experimental data	No ⁶	Yes	link
			<i>Every study is assigned a unique ID</i>	No	Yes	
9	Required	Required	Reported data must disclose all repetitions of the test regardless of the outcome	No	To some extent	link
			<i>For my research unit, I ensure that all repetitions are reported and conduct spot checks on reported studies</i>	No	No	
10	Required	Strongly recommended	Investigator must declare in advance whether a study is intended to inform a formal knowledge claim	No	Yes	link
			<i>All study plans in my research unit clearly indicate when studies are intended to inform a formal knowledge claim</i>	No	Yes	
			<i>I regularly conduct spot checks of the completed studies</i>	No	No	
			<i>The following applies to all knowledge-claiming studies:</i>			
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Study (experimental) plan must be defined and documented before starting the experiments 			
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Study hypothesis must be pre-specified 			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Blinding should be implemented, exceptions must be justified and documented 						
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Randomization should be implemented, exceptions must be justified and documented 						
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sample size and power analysis must be defined and documented before starting the experiments (e.g. included in the study plan) 						
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Data analysis must be defined and documented before starting the experiments (e.g. as a 						

⁶ Same as above



			<p>formal statistical analysis plan and/or included in the study plan)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inclusion and exclusion criteria must be defined and documented before starting the experiments (e.g. included in the study plan) Deviations from study (experimental) plan must be documented Pre-registration should be implemented 			
11	Required	Required	<p>All personnel involved in research must have adequate training and competence to perform assigned tasks</p> <p><i>To the best of my knowledge, all legally required / mandatory training is provided and is properly documented</i></p> <p><i>For training other than legally required, I have reviewed the need, set the content and ensured the compliance and documentation</i></p> <p><i>I make sure that all members of my research unit received training on what is considered to be raw data and how to record and handle data</i></p> <p><i>My research unit has a dedicated training program for the new members</i></p>	No	Yes	link
				No ⁷	Yes	
				No ⁸	Yes	
				No	Yes	
				No	Yes	
12	Required	Required	<p>Protocols for experimental methods must be available</p> <p><i>For all experimental (research) methods, I conduct spot checks to make sure that my research unit has up-to-date protocols</i></p>	No ⁹	Yes	link
				No	No	

⁷ Not beyond training documentation itself

⁸ Same as above

⁹ Not beyond protocols themselves



			<i>in electronic or paper form and these protocols are fully accessible to members of my research unit</i>			
13	Required	Required	Adequate handling and storage of samples and materials must be ensured	No ¹⁰	Yes	link
			<i>Internal spot checks are conducted regularly</i>	No	No	
			<i>I regularly discuss with members of my research unit importance of adequate handling and storage of samples and materials</i>	No	Yes	
14	Required	Required	Research equipment and tools must be suitable for intended use and ensure data integrity	No	Yes	link
			<i>Protocols of experimental methods clearly state whether calibration is needed and, if yes, describe the procedure</i>	No ¹¹	Yes	
			<i>My research unit has a process in place that ensures adequate maintenance of the research equipment and tools and I conduct regular spot checks</i>	No	Yes	
15	Required	Strongly recommended	Risk assessment must be performed to identify factors affecting the generation, processing and reporting of research data	No	Yes	link
			<i>All study plans in my research unit include a risk assessment section and I have regularly conducted spot checks of the completed studies</i>	No ¹²	Yes	
			<i>My research unit follows practices recommended by EQIPD</i>	Yes ¹³	Yes	

¹⁰ Although there is a general requirement to have

¹¹ Not beyond protocols themselves

¹² Not beyond study plans themselves

¹³ In case of deviations only



			<i>(deviations from strongly recommended practices are justified and documented)</i>			
16	Required	Strongly recommended	Critical incidents and errors during study conduct must be analyzed and appropriately managed	No ¹⁴	Yes	link
			<i>Members of my research unit are aware of the internal process for analyzing, recording and dealing with the errors and critical incidents</i>	No	Yes	
			<i>I regularly check documentation of critical incidents and errors in the laboratory notebooks</i>	No	No	
			<i>Management of critical incidents and errors is part of the training received by new members of my research unit</i>	No	Yes	
17	Required	n/a	An approach must be in place to monitor the performance of the EQIPD Quality System, and address identified issues	No	Yes	link
			<i>Self-assessment is conducted according to the pre-defined frequency</i>	Yes	Yes	
			<i>With the help of the EQIPD Quality System, my research unit reaches its self-defined quality goals and objectives</i>	No	Yes	
			<i>I conduct spot checks of the completed studies for potential issues</i>	No	Yes	
18	Required	n/a	Resources for sustaining the EQIPD Quality System must be available	No	Yes	link
			(Note: Lack of resources is not an acceptable argument for not following the best research practices)			

¹⁴ Not beyond documentation of errors

